The Market Framework Model
About Market Structure and Context

Version: 1.0

Author: M.C.M. van Kroonenburgh
Date: Januari 6, 2026
i-Depot registration: 155670

Index

Introduction

A framework for structured observation

The market framework model is designed in response to a specific problem. The abundance of market information encourages reactive behavior before structured understanding has taken place. MFM offers an alternative to that impulse. Not by adding more information, but by organizing existing observation.

MFM reduces chaos to a readable hierarchy
Markets rarely present unambiguous signals. Price movement, volume, patterns, and sentiment appear simultaneously and often seem contradictory. Rather than attempting to interpret this stream as a whole, MFM separates it into four coherent layers:

- *Regime* (context)
- *Phase* (internal state)
- *Leadership* (refinement)
- *MPF* (local structure)

Each layer has a fixed position and function. Together they do not form a prediction, but a hierarchy of observation. This structure ensures that complexity does not result in confusion, but in an ordered process of observation.

Why this matters: *In an environment dominated by impulsive indicators*

Most analytical models are designed to generate action. They provide signals, timing, or direction. In doing so, they answer the question "*What should I do?*" before the question "*What am I observing?*" has been fully addressed.

MFM reverses this order. The model does not ask a trading question, but an observational one: *"In what context does this occur?"*. By establishing structure first, MFM prevents isolated events from being inflated into reasons for action.

The value does not lie in what the model shows, but in what it protects. *It preserves the space between observation and action*.

MFM provides calm by making non-action explicit

The most distinctive characteristic of MFM is that it explicitly supports non-action.

Where other models always lead to a conclusion, even when uncertainty is high, MFM stops interpretation when structural coherence is insufficient.

The fixed reading order of MFM and its veto logic ensure:

- That ambiguity is not resolved, but identified
- That neutrality is treated as a valid outcome
- That the pressure to act is reduced.

MFM is therefore not an instrument for finding opportunities, but a framework for preventing unnecessary intervention. It does not provide certainty, but clarity about when certainty is absent.

Summary

MFM replaces reactive viewing with methodical observation. It offers no trading signals, but a hierarchy of observation. No timing, but context. No action, but structure.
In an environment that continuously demands decisions, the model offers something different. It provides the ability to understand before acting.

Scope and intent

How to read this document

The market framework model (MFM) is a multi-layer analytical framework for interpreting market context. The model does not generate trades, signals, or recommendations. Its purpose is to describe structure.

This document serves as the canonical technical reference of the market framework model. It describes the logical architecture, the relationships between the layers, and the visual conventions of the model in a precise and unambiguous manner. The objective is consistency, not persuasion.

What this document is

This document serves as:

- a formal specification of the market framework model,
- a reference for correct interpretation and implementation,
- a conceptual map of the relationships between the layers.

It is written for readers who seek to understand the internal logic of the model, not for those looking for predefined strategies or shortcuts.

Descriptions in this document are intentionally exact. Thresholds, conditions, and terminology are fixed to preserve structural clarity and intellectual consistency.

What this document is not

This document is not:

- a trading system,
- a set of entry or exit rules,
- a performance claim or backtest report,
- an explanation of technical indicators,
- financial, investment, or trading advice.

MFM does not attempt to predict markets.
It organizes information so that structure becomes visible, without enforcing interpretation.

Intended audience

This document is intended for:

- traders with foundational knowledge of market mechanisms,
- analysts studying structural market behavior,
- researchers and developers working with contextual models,
- users implementing or evaluating MFM-based tools.

The model prioritizes structural correctness over accessibility.

How to use this document

This document is not required to be read linearly from beginning to end. A complete linear reading may, however, be useful for readers who seek a comprehensive understanding of the full framework. Each section describes an independent layer of the model.

When technical terms are used, they are applied consistently and deliberately.
If a concept is formulated in a minimal or restrained manner, it is because the model seeks to limit interpretation rather than encourage it.

Responsibility
All interpretations derived from the market framework model remain the responsibility of the user.
MFM provides context. It does not remove uncertainty, risk, or decision-making responsibility.

Part I
Reading and understanding

Chapter 1

Why this guide exists

This guide is written to provide structure when reading the market framework model (MFM). Within MFM, reading refers to the structured observation of market conditions through fixed layers and relationships, not to the interpretation of outcomes or the justification of action. MFM doesn't show what markets promise, but *it brings order to what is observable*. MFM is designed as a framework for organizing market context. The model describes what can be observed, not what should follow from it.

This guide clarifies how MFM is to be read and where the boundaries of its application lie. That delineation is not intended as a limitation, but as a necessary condition for observing markets with greater calm and coherence.

Context before interpretation
The field of technical analysis is broad and diverse. There are many indicators, models, and tools that attempt to make markets intelligible. Many of them are carefully designed and can be valuable, provided they are read within the appropriate context.

At the same time, this abundance can create restlessness. Not because the techniques themselves are unclear, but because many models leave room for interpretation.

That space is often filled unconsciously with expectations:

- what a model is expected to show,
- what it is expected to predict,
- which action would logically follow.

Markets are rarely considered in isolation. News, commentary, and framing continuously influence how movements are interpreted. This external context creates a tendency toward intuitive action, while the underlying structure has often not yet been fully accounted for.

MFM is designed to make that underlying structure explicit before meaning, judgment, or action emerges.

Structure as a starting point

The market framework model places structure back at the center. Not by adding additional signals, but by organizing what is already visible. The objective is to slow and constrain interpretation, not to replace it.

This guide is intended to show how MFM is to be read and to make explicit where the boundaries of its application lie. It helps surface assumptions before they begin to guide observation and influence action.

MFM is explicitly designed as a way of looking. It organizes what is visible without automatically attaching conclusions or actions to it.
This principle is easy to state, but difficult to maintain in practice. That difficulty is precisely where the strength of MFM lies.

MFM is not intended to disable intuition, but to first create space for rational understanding. Only after that, if desired, can intuition guide action.

Delay as a design choice
Most people who engage with markets develop a reflex to respond over time. To price, to movement, to change. Often without deliberate choice. This is not a deficiency, but a logical consequence of how markets are commonly approached.
As noted above, MFM is designed to slow that reflex. This delay creates space to recognize patterns and relationships that would otherwise disappear under speed and noise. It does so by *defining what must first be observed*, rather than prescribing what must be done.

The role of this guide
This document describes how MFM is to be read.
- Not how it is used to do something.
- Not how it is used to justify a decision.
- And not how it is used to force action.
- No strategies are provided.
- No examples of action are given.
- No promises of outcome are made.

This is not a limitation, it is the design.
MFM is developed as a framework that organizes context. It helps distinguish between environment, state, and local structure. It enforces a sequence in observation. Only after that sequence does space for interpretation arise. Everything that follows interpretation, including action, lies outside this model.

Terminology and interpretation
Once a model is represented visually, a tendency emerges to read it as a signal. This is not a conscious choice, but a familiar pattern. Visual elements and directional terms naturally evoke expectations.
Terms such as *up*, *down*, *support*, or *confirmation* are therefore often read not as descriptions, but as prompts for action. Meaning emerges faster than context can be assessed.

This document is intended to clarify how such terminology should be approached from a structural perspective. Not by avoiding these words, but by making their position and function within the framework explicit.

Intended reader
This guide is written for readers who seek to first understand what they are observing, without immediate consequence. It is intended for those willing to refrain from acting right away.

Readers who are seeking rapid decisions, confirmation of an existing view, or justification for immediate entry are likely to find this document frustrating. That is not a problem. It simply means this is not the appropriate instrument.

Responsibility of the reader
MFM does not make decisions.
The designer does not make decisions.
This guide does not make decisions.
Everything that occurs after observation lies outside this model.
Within MFM, non-action is not a failure. It is a valid outcome.

The chapters that follow build on this foundation. They define the internal logic of the model. That logic is strict and sequential. Understanding it is necessary before further depth becomes meaningful.

Chapter 2

The core rule of MFM

The core rule of MFM is simple but fundamental. *Clarity emerges through fixed sequence without direction*. The model does not provide answers. It defines a fixed sequence that the reader must follow when forming questions

Sequential reading, not combination
Each layer within MFM is read separately, in a fixed sequence. Each element acquires meaning only after the preceding element has been explicitly established. When this sequence is abandoned, MFM loses its function as a framework and becomes a collection of isolated observations.

Each layer is read independently, in a fixed order. The layers within MFM are not combined into a single judgment. They are not weighted and not balanced against one another. A later observation cannot correct or relativize an earlier determination. What is not explicitly established in an early layer cannot be supplied by information from a later layer. For this reason, within MFM it is not possible to:
- interpret multiple layers simultaneously,
- retroactively supplement missing context,
- or construct meaning by combining elements.

MFM does not operate by accumulation, but by exclusion.

The fixed reading sequence
The core rule of MFM is easy to state, but strict in application.

1. ***First, context is established***
   This concerns the *market regime*. The regime describes the broader environment in which price operates.
2. ***Next, the internal state within that context is determined***
   This concerns the *phase*. The phase describes the position of the market within the established regime.
3. ***Then, relative refinement or confirmation is assessed***
   This concerns *leadership*. This layer refines the reading, but cannot override earlier determinations.
4. ***Only then can local structure acquire meaning***
   This concerns *market structure (MPF)*. This layer is always local and only relevant within the previously established context and state.

This sequence prevents local details from being weighted more heavily than the environment in which they appear.

Observations are placed within a coherent framework, not positioned alongside one another as isolated signals.

Veto logic

Within MFM, veto logic applies. An earlier layer can invalidate a later layer, but never the reverse. What is established early in the reading sequence carries more weight than what becomes visible later.

- A clear context can neutralize a later observation.
- An unclear context can block further interpretation.
- A conflict in early layers is not an invitation to balance signals, but an indication that further interpretation is not justified.

MFM does not apply compromise logic. It does not seek balance between conflicting signals. It determines whether further reading is meaningful.

No conclusion as a valid outcome

When the fixed reading sequence does not produce a coherent picture, the correct outcome within MFM is *no conclusion*.

This does not mean that insufficient information is available. It also does not mean that something is missing.

It means that the available information, when read according to the fixed sequence, does not allow a consistent interpretation.

Within MFM, drawing no conclusion is not a delay, but a correct reading of the model.

The model makes visible when observation lacks sufficient coherence to proceed. MFM does not

force completion. It enforces discipline in observation.

Why this core rule is necessary
The core rule, that MFM is read in a fixed sequence, prevents:
- later observations from correcting earlier assumptions,
- isolated elements from being read as signals,
- the model from being adjusted to fit a desired interpretation.

Without this core rule, MFM would add nothing to existing analytical frameworks. The value of the model does not lie in what it shows, but in the order in which it must be read.

With this rule, it is established how MFM is to be read. Not what it shows, but when meaning may emerge. Without that sequence, each individual component loses its function within the whole.

This chapter establishes how the market framework model is to be read. The order, the sequence, and the veto logic form the framework within which meaning can arise.

The chapters that follow build on this foundation. They introduce no applications and no trading suggestions, but move step by step toward a careful reading of the model. They first address language and terminology, before turning to the individual layers of MFM. Only within that sequence can the model be understood as it is intended.

Chapter 3

Terminology and language conventions

The market framework model uses terms that are familiar within market environments. This is unavoidable. Words such as *up*, *down*, *support*, or *confirmation* are part of the established vocabulary surrounding charts and analysis.For that very reason, their use requires care.

Within MFM, these terms have no independent meaning. They do not refer to action, timing, or expectation. They describe only what is observable within an established structure. Without that structure, they are meaningless.

This chapter defines how terminology within MFM is to be read and, equally important, how it is not to be read.

Words do not carry action
In many analytical frameworks, terms are implicitly linked to action. A word suggests direction. A label evokes expectation. This rarely occurs consciously, but almost always automatically.

Within MFM, that linkage is not permitted. A term describes a state, not a consequence. A word indicates something, but initiates nothing.

Meaning arises exclusively within the fixed reading sequence established in chapter 2. Without that sequence, terminology is not usable.

By keeping words strictly descriptive, structure can be named without automatically generating interpretation or expectation.

Descriptive, not prescriptive

All terms within MFM are descriptive in nature. They provide no instruction and contain no judgment.
They say nothing about what should happen and nothing about what would be logical to do.
This applies equally to terms that in other contexts often carry directive meaning.

- *Up* and *down* describe an observed direction within a specific layer.
- *Support* and *resistance* describe a relationship, not a barrier.
- *Confirmation* describes coherence, not validation of a decision.

Within MFM, these words never refer to action.

On *Sup*, *Sdown*, and *Conf*

The terms *Sup*, *Sdown*, and *Conf* are used within MFM as abbreviated designations. They are chosen to make structure visible, not to add meaning.

- *Sup* and *Sdown* describe a relationship within local structure.
- *Conf* describes coherence between observations.

None of these terms:

- implies direction,
- validates an interpretation,
- or justifies a subsequent step.

They are intended to distinguish zones and relationships, not to assign intention or expectation. Their function is exclusively descriptive and always subordinate to the previously established context and state.

Terminology without context is empty
A term can only be read within the layer to which it belongs.
When a term is detached from that layer, it loses its meaning.

This means that:
- The same term may indicate something different in a different context.
- Words are not universally applicable.
- Meaning can never be carried over to another layer.

Within MFM, no fixed meaning exists outside structure.

Why this delineation is necessary
Without explicit language conventions, interpretation becomes inconsistent. The same observation acquires different meanings depending on expectation or preference.

This chapter constrained that space. Not to prohibit interpretation, but to locate it. Only when terminology is read correctly can the model function as intended.

Clear and limited language prevents observation from being overshadowed by interpretation before structure has been established.

Now that the reading rule has been defined and terminology has been bounded, the individual layers of the model can be addressed. These layers are not introduced as instruments, but as components within the fixed reading structure.
The first and most decisive of these is the context in which the market operates. That is the subject of the next chapter.

Chapter 4

Regime as context

Within the market framework model, every reading begins with establishing the *regime*. This is not a matter of preference, but a structural necessity. Without context, no subsequent observation can be interpreted meaningfully.
The regime describes the broader environment in which price operates. It does not direct action and does not formulate expectation.
It defines only the framework within which further interpretation may or may not be meaningful.

What regime is
Regime is a *context layer*.
It describes the dominant state of the market at a higher level. Not as a snapshot, but as an environment.
The regime indicates whether price is situated in:
- an environment that allows for expansion,
- an environment that allows for contraction,
- or an environment in which no clear structural coherence is present.

It is important to note that regime does not make predictions. It says nothing about what price will do.
It states only where price is situated.

Why regime always comes first

Without an established context, interpretation arises from fragments. Isolated movements acquire meaning without clarity as to whether that meaning is supported by the surrounding environment.

By establishing the regime first, the following are prevented:

- local movements being weighted too heavily,
- temporary dynamics being read as structural change,
- later layers acquiring direction without a framework.

Regime therefore functions as a boundary. It defines what is not logical to assume, without stating what will occur.

By establishing regime first, it becomes clear in which environment price movements occur, before those movements are assigned meaning.

Regime and direction

Regime must not be read as direction.

An established regime does not mean that price will immediately move in a particular direction. It also does not mean that counter-movements are impossible.

It describes only the structural context in which movements take place.

Confusing context with direction is one of the most common errors in reading markets. MFM prevents this by explicitly separating regime from action.

Neutrality is also context
A regime can be explicit, but it can also be neutral. A neutral regime is not a lack of information. It is a determination that the broader context does not provide clear structure on which further interpretation can be built.
Within MFM, this is not a problem, but a valid outcome. When the regime is neutral, further analysis loses its foundation. This does not mean that nothing is visible, but that what is visible is not supported by a coherent environment.

Once the regime has been explicitly established, space emerges to observe the internal state of the market within that framework. That internal state does not add new information about the environment itself, but describes how price relates within it. That is the subject of the next chapter.

Chapter 5

Phase as internal state

After the regime has been established, the internal state of the market within that context can be observed. Within the market framework model, this internal state is referred to as **phase**.
Phase *describes where the market is positioned within the established regime*. Not what the market will do. And not which action would be logical. It is a positional description, not a directional statement.

What phase is
Phase is a *state layer*.
Where regime defines the environment, *phase describes the dynamics within that environment*.
Phase indicates whether the market is in an early, developing, or declining state, relative to the prevailing regime.
- Phase says nothing about timing.
- Phase says nothing about turning points.
- Phase says nothing about action.

It describes only the internal position of the market within the broader context. In doing so, phase makes visible how movements relate internally to one another within an existing regime.

Phase exists only within regime
A phase can only be read within an established regime. Without context, phase has no meaning. It

is not possible to interpret a phase independently of the environment in which it exists.
For that reason, within MFM:

- phase can never correct regime,
- phase can never override regime,
- phase can only refine regime.

When regime and phase cannot be read together, further interpretation is not justified.

Phase and change

Phase is often confused with change or transition. Within MFM, this is not correct. A change in phase indicates only a shift within the existing context.
It does not mean that the regime has changed. Only when the regime itself changes explicitly does the environment in which phase has meaning change.
This distinction between the two levels prevents internal dynamics from being read as structural reversal.

Neutrality within phase (no phase)

Like regime, phase can be neutral.
A neutral phase means that the internal state within the prevailing regime does not allow a clear positional determination.
It does not mean that the market is static. In such a situation, phase adds no information that supports further interpretation.

Within MFM, this is a valid outcome.
Establishing no phase prevents coherence from being assumed where it is structurally absent.

When regime and phase together describe a consistent context and internal state, space emerges for further refinement. This refinement adds no new context, but helps to interpret relationships within what has already been established. That refinement is described in the next chapter.

Chapter 6

Leadership as refinement

When regime and phase together describe a consistent context and internal state, relative relationships within that structure can be observed. Within the market framework model, this refinement is referred to as **leadership**.

Leadership describes relative strength or weakness within the framework that has already been established.

It adds no new context. It does not correct earlier determinations. And it introduces no direction.

What leadership is

Leadership is a *refinement layer*.

It describes how a market relates to a reference within a given regime and phase. This relationship can add information about internal coherence, but only when the preceding layers have been explicitly and consistently established.

- Leadership says nothing about what price should do.
- Leadership says nothing about timing.
- Leadership says nothing about action.

It is a relational description, not an evaluative mechanism. Leadership makes visible whether an observed movement fits within a broader relative coherence, without attaching consequence.

Leadership exists only within regime and phase
Leadership can only be read when both regime and phase have been established. Without that foundation, the relationship is meaningless.
For that reason, within MFM:

- leadership can never override regime,
- leadership can never correct phase,
- leadership can only refine what has already been established consistently.

When leadership conflicts with regime or phase, this is not an invitation to reinterpretation. It is an indication that further interpretation is not justified at that moment.

Relative, not absolute
Leadership always describes a relationship. It has no absolute value and no independent meaning.
A strong relative position says nothing about future movement and nothing about durability. It indicates only coherence within the existing framework.
By explicitly separating leadership from expectation, refinement is prevented from being read as direction.

Neutrality within leadership
Leadership can also be neutral.
A neutral leadership reading means that relative relationships do not show additional coherence within the established regime and the prevailing phase.
In that case, leadership adds no information and the reading is not further refined.

Within MFM, this is a valid and complete outcome. The absence of clear leadership is itself a relevant observation, as it explicitly limits the refining value of this layer.

When regime, phase, and leadership together form a consistent picture, local structure can be observed. This structure is always local and temporary, and can acquire meaning only within the previously established layers.
That local structure forms the final layer of the market framework model and is described in the next chapter.

Chapter 7

MPF as local structure

The market pattern forecast, abbreviated as ***MPF***, forms the final layer within the market framework model. *MPF describes local structure.*
That structure is always location-specific, temporary, and fully dependent on everything that precedes it.
MPF never stands on its own. Without an established regime, phase, and leadership, MPF has no meaning. These preceding determinations do not lead to a conclusion, but define only the framework within which further observation is possible.

What MPF is
MPF is a structure layer. It describes patterns and zones within a local price area. These patterns describe only where attention is concentrated within the existing structure. They say nothing about direction, expectation, or outcome.
MPF:
- adds no context,
- corrects no earlier layers,
- and refines only what has already been established unambiguously.

Local structure is therefore always derivative and never independent.

Local structure is subordinate

Within MFM, local structure can never be leading. This is not a design preference, but a necessary consequence of the fixed reading sequence.
A clear MPF structure can:

- not outweigh an unclear regime,
- acquire no meaning without phase,
- and add no value when leadership is absent.

When MPF suggests something that is not supported by the higher layers, that suggestion is disregarded within MFM.

Sup, Sdown, and Conf within MPF

Within MPF, the designations *Sup*, *Sdown*, and *Conf* are used as descriptive labels.

- *Sup* and *Sdown* mark zones where local structure converges.
- *Conf* indicates coherence between observations within that local area.

None of these designations:

- implies direction,
- validates an interpretation,
- or justifies action.

They are readable only within the previously established context, state, and refinement.

MPF is not a signal

MPF must not be read as a signal. Neither explicitly nor implicitly. A visible structure does not mean that something must occur. It means only that something is visible. Within MFM, visibility is never sufficient for meaning.

Confusing structure with signal is one of the most common causes of misreading.
For that reason, MPF always occupies the final layer and never the starting point.

Neutrality within MPF
MPF can also be neutral. A neutral MPF reading means that local structure does not show additional coherence within the existing framework. In that case, MPF adds nothing to the reading and interpretation is not further refined.
This is not a missed opportunity, but a correct outcome. With MPF, all layers of the market framework model have been described. Each layer has a fixed position, a bounded role, and a clear subordination to what precedes it.

This chapter described the position and function of MPF within the model. It does not describe the individual structure zones, nor how they are read in detail. That elaboration follows in the next part, where MPF is further decomposed without altering the character of the model.

Chapter 8

What MFM explicitly does not do

The market framework model is designed for structured reading, not to trigger trading actions. That distinction is essential for understanding the model correctly.

This chapter explicitly describes what MFM does not do. Not as a warning and not as a defense, but as a necessary delineation. Everything described here lies outside the scope of the model.

MFM is not a signal model

The market framework model does not generate trading signals. No layer within MFM indicates that a trading action should follow. The model does not suggest, confirm, or compel action. Visibility within MFM means only that structure has become readable. No automatic consequence is attached to that visibility.

Reading MFM as a trading signal model is therefore a fundamental misinterpretation of its function.

MFM provides no timing

MFM says nothing about when something should occur. The model contains no timing component, no acceleration, and no delay. It describes structure and coherence, not the moment at which a change would take place.

Any form of timing attributed to MFM originates outside the model.

MFM makes no decisions

MFM makes no decisions and does not support decision-making. The model does not weigh options, select direction, or evaluate outcomes. It organizes observation. Everything that occurs after observation lies outside the framework.
Attributing decision-making authority to MFM is incorrect.

MFM predicts nothing

MFM contains no expectations about future movement. The model describes what is visible within an established structure. It makes no statements about what would be probable, desirable, or logical to expect.
Any reading of MFM as a predictive model is a misreading.

MFM is not a trading strategy

The market framework model is not a trading strategy. It contains no rules for execution, no optimization, and no set of conditions that independently lead to a trading outcome.
MFM can be used as a framework for reading and organizing market structure, but it does not determine what should be done, when that should occur, or how it should be executed.
When MFM is applied as a standalone trading strategy, it is used outside its design boundaries.

MFM optimizes nothing
MFM does not optimize returns, timing, or efficiency.
The model has no target variable and no evaluation
framework. It is not designed to be better, faster, or
more accurate than other approaches.
The only thing MFM does is impose structure on
observation.

What this delineation means
MFM offers a structured method of observation. It
does not tell the reader what to do, but how to
observe. In an environment that demands action,
this first creates space for understanding.
That MFM does not perform the functions listed
above is not a limitation. It is the core of the design.
By containing no action, timing, or expectation, the
model remains readable without enforcing direction.
It makes visible what is present, without suggesting
what should be done with it.
That restraint is not a deficiency, but a condition.

On responsibility
Everything that occurs after reading MFM lies
outside the model. MFM bears no responsibility for
interpretation, choice, or outcome. That
responsibility always remains with the reader.
Not acting, or drawing no conclusion, is within MFM
a valid and complete outcome.

Chapter 9

Common misreadings

The reading rules of the market framework model are simple to state, but difficult to maintain.
Not because they are complex, but because they conflict with habits that are widespread in market environments.
The misreadings described below do not arise from lack of knowledge or unwillingness. They arise from familiar patterns of observing, interpreting, and assigning meaning. For that reason, they are predictable.
This chapter names these patterns so that they can be recognized when they occur. These misreadings arise precisely because MFM does the opposite of what is common practice. It slows interpretation rather than accelerating it.

Reversing the layers

One of the most common misreadings is reversing the reading sequence. Local structure is observed and assigned meaning before context and internal state have been explicitly established. Regime and phase are then used to justify that earlier interpretation.
Within MFM, this sequence is not permitted. What comes later can never correct what should have been established earlier.

Combining separate layers
Another common misreading is combining layers into a single judgment. Elements from regime, phase, leadership, and MPF are weighed simultaneously, as if they were equivalent. This produces a composite picture that has not been explicitly established at any level.
MFM does not operate by accumulation, but by exclusion. When layers are not read separately and sequentially, the model loses its function.

Reading MPF in isolation
Local structure has strong visual appeal. This makes MPF particularly susceptible to misreading. When MPF is read without an explicitly established regime, phase, and leadership, local structure is given weight that it does not have within MFM. What is visible then becomes leading rather than subordinate.
Within MFM, MPF is always the final layer and never a starting point.

Assigning meaning retroactively
A subtle but common misreading is assigning meaning after the fact. An observation acquires meaning only after price has moved. That meaning is then projected back onto an earlier moment, as if it had already been present.
This way of reading may appear logical, but it is incompatible with MFM. Meaning may arise only at the moment of observation, within the established structure.

Ignoring context in the presence of strong local structure
When local structure is clearly visible, the tendency arises to ignore context. Strong patterns or clearly defined zones appear sufficient to assign meaning. Without a supporting context, however, local structure cannot acquire independent meaning, regardless of how compelling it appears.

Confusing discipline with restraint
A final misreading is confusing discipline with restraint. Drawing no conclusion is sometimes viewed as delay or missed opportunity. Within MFM, it is instead a sign of correct reading. When structure does not produce a coherent picture, further interpretation is not justified.
Not acting is not a deficiency, but a valid outcome.

Why these misreadings persist
These misreadings do not disappear through better explanation or stricter rules. They recede through repetition, awareness, and discipline in observation. This document does not prevent them. It makes them visible. Those who do not accept this way of observing are not required to use the model.

With this chapter, the reading framework of the market framework model is complete.
The rules, terms, and misreadings described together form the basis for reading the model correctly, without enforcing direction or action.

In the next part, this reading logic is further developed in situations where multiple layers coincide, conflict, or are deliberately disregarded. Not to arrive at action, but to show how the model preserves its boundaries as interpretation increases.

Part II

Application of the reading logic

Chapter 10

MPF in combination with regime and phase

When regime and phase have been explicitly established, MPF serves a specific and limited function within the market framework model. *MPF localizes observation*. It makes visible where local structure is present within an already valid context and internal state. It refines attention without introducing direction, expectation, or consequence. MPF does not expand the framework and does not alter earlier determinations. Its role is to make internal relationships locally observable, within boundaries that have already been set.

Within the market framework model, MPF is never read in isolation. Local structure acquires meaning only within an established context and internal state. This means that MPF is always read in combination with regime and phase, and never independently. This chapter describes how that combination is to be read and, equally important, where interpretation explicitly stops.

MPF follows context and state
MPF describes local structure.
- Regime describes the environment.
- Phase describes the internal state within that environment.

This sequence is fixed and not interchangeable.
This means that:
- MPF cannot add meaning when the regime is unclear,
- MPF cannot provide independent interpretation when phase is neutral,
- MPF can never correct or compensate for an earlier layer.

When context or state is absent, local structure is not further interpreted.

Local structure does not change context
A common misreading is that clear local structure is taken as an indication of a changing environment. Within MFM, this is not permitted.
A visible MPF structure:
- does not change the regime,
- does not alter the phase,
- and does not create new context.

Local structure can only be read within what has already been explicitly established. Not against it.

MPF within different regimes
The same local structure can be visible in different regimes. This does not mean that it carries the same meaning.
Within MFM, meaning is not derived from the form of the structure, but from its position within context.
- An MPF structure within a bearish regime has no inherent tendency toward recovery.
- An MPF structure within a bullish regime has no inherent tendency toward continuation.

The regime defines the framework. MPF neither adapts to it nor contradicts it.

MPF within different phases
Phase also affects how local structure is read.
An MPF structure that is visible in an early phase conveys something different than the same structure in a declining phase. Not because the structure changes, but because the internal state differs.
Within MFM, this means that MPF is read exclusively as refinement, not as a turning point.

When MPF adds nothing
There are situations in which regime and phase are clear, but MPF shows no additional coherence.
In such cases, MPF adds nothing to the reading.
This is not a deficiency of the model. It means that local structure provides no additional information within the existing framework. Within MFM, it is fully legitimate to set MPF aside in such situations.
When MPF does not align with regime or phase, no dilemma arises that must be resolved.
Within MFM, a veto applies.
Recognizing and respecting these vetoes is essential to reading the model correctly. That mechanism is elaborated in the next chapter.

Chapter 11

Conflict, vetoes, and stop rules

Within the market framework model, conflicts are not an indication that something is missing. They are a sign that the reading logic is being applied correctly.

When different layers show no coherence, no question arises that must be resolved. A boundary emerges that must be respected.

This chapter describes how MFM handles conflicts and why recognizing them is essential to prevent the model from becoming instrumental.

What constitutes a conflict within MFM

A conflict arises when a later layer does not fit within what has been explicitly established earlier.

This may occur, among other situations, when:

- MPF shows structure within an unclear regime,
- MPF is visible while phase remains neutral,
- leadership shows no coherence with local structure.

Within MFM, such a situation is not weighed and not reinterpreted. It is established.

Conflict does not require resolution

In many analytical frameworks, a conflict is treated as something that must be resolved. Additional indicators are introduced, assumptions are adjusted, or interpretations are refined.

Within MFM, this is not permitted.
A conflict does not require resolution, but a pause in interpretation. It means that further interpretation is not justified at that moment.

Veto logic
MFM operates with vetoes, not confirmations.
- An unclear regime issues a veto over everything that follows.
- A neutral phase issues a veto over further refinement.
- Lack of coherence in leadership issues a veto over local interpretation.

MPF can never lift a veto. This logic is not gradual. The number of conflicting layers is not assessed. A single veto is sufficient to stop interpretation.

Leadership as a system filter
Leadership introduces an explicit relational layer within MFM. Where regime and phase describe the internal coherence of a single market, leadership places that coherence in relation to a broader environment. This means that MFM does not only ask whether structure is internally consistent, but also whether it is externally supported.
A market may display clear local structure while showing no relative coherence with its reference. In that case, the observation remains valid, but further refinement is limited.

Leadership therefore functions not as confirmation, but as a system filter. It prevents local structure from

automatically acquiring meaning when it appears in isolation.
Without leadership, structure remains local. With leadership, it becomes visible whether that structure is part of a broader coherence.
When leadership is absent or neutral, no deficiency arises that must be remedied.

Within MFM, this means that the reading logic deliberately stops. Local structure may be visible, but it carries no additional weight as long as relational coherence is absent.
This role of leadership is essential for safeguarding scale and context. It ensures that MFM does not remain limited to isolated chart interpretation, but reads structure as part of a larger system, without attaching direction or consequence.

When MPF does add information
Within MFM, MPF adds information only when all preceding layers show coherence and no veto is active. This means that regime has been explicitly established, phase is not neutral, and leadership shows no conflict.
In that situation, MPF introduces no new meaning and no direction. It also does not redistribute weight within the model.

What MPF does in that case is the following:
MPF makes visible where, within an already coherent structure, observation becomes locally concentrated. Not to attach consequence, but to

anchor the existing reading in location and relationship.

MPF thus functions as a local precision layer. It deepens observation without accelerating interpretation. It confirms no scenario and resolves no tension, but prevents attention from being distributed arbitrarily across the chart.

When MPF is read within this context, it does not strengthen the outcome, but the discipline of observation. It makes visible where observation occurs within the framework, without suggesting what should follow. Outside these conditions, MPF adds nothin. Within these conditions, MPF adds detail and nothing more.

Stop rules within the reading process
The stop rules within MFM mark a reading boundary. They are not behavioral rules and prescribe no action.
When a stop rule is reached:
- no further meaning is assigned,
- no interpretation is completed,
- and no conclusion is drawn.

This is not an incomplete reading, but a correct one.

MPF can add information only when the higher layers explicitly show coherence.

This means that:
- the regime has been clearly established,
- the phase describes a coherent internal state,
- and leadership does not contradict these determinations.

In that situation, MPF functions as local refinement. Not to suggest direction, but to make visible where attention is concentrated within the existing structure.

MPF then adds no new meaning, but anchors observation within the already established framework. It describes location, not consequence. When these conditions are met:
- MPF may be read,
- but remains subordinate,
- and cannot correct any earlier determination.

MPF is not a solution to uncertainty, but a refinement of clarity.

Neutrality as an explicit outcome
Within MFM, conflict often leads to neutrality. Neutrality means that the model shows no coherence that supports further interpretation. It is not emptiness, but an explicit determination that the available information, when read according to the correct sequence, does not produce a coherent picture.

Within MFM, neutrality is a valid end state. When all layers show coherence, price can be observed within that framework. Even then, price remains subordinate. Price follows structure. It does not lead it.

How price is viewed within MFM and, more importantly, how it must not be read, is discussed in the next chapter.

Chapter 12

Price: what follows, but does not lead

Price is what is most visible in markets. Precisely for that reason, it is often assigned a leading role.
Within the market framework model, this is explicitly not the case.
Price is observed within MFM, not interpreted. It follows the structure established by the preceding layers, but cannot determine that structure.

The position of price within MFM
Price does not appear at the beginning of the reading sequence, but at the end.
It is read only after context, internal state, refinement, and local structure have been explicitly established. Only then can price be observed within a framework that carries meaning.

Within MFM, the following applies:
- price cannot establish regime,
- price cannot define phase,
- price cannot determine leadership,
- and price cannot create MPF structure.

Price shows what manifests within the existing structure. Not the other way around.

Price is not confirmation
A common misreading is to treat price movement as confirmation of an earlier interpretation.
Within MFM, this is not permitted.

A movement in price:
- does not validate context,
- does not confirm state,
- and does not lift a veto.

Price may become visible within coherence, but it cannot enforce that coherence.

Sup and *Sdown* are not price levels

Within MFM, *Sup* and *Sdown* are not price levels. *They describe structural zones within local structure*, not specific numbers, lines, or levels on a chart.

Reducing these zones to price levels alters their function and renders them instrumental. *Sup* and *Sdown* say nothing about where price should react. They indicate where structure converges.

Conf is not a price object

Conf, in any form, is not a candle and not a price pattern.

It describes coherence between observations within a local area. Reducing *Conf* to a visual price object leads to misreading and makes the term action-oriented.

Within MFM, Conf remains a contextual designation, not a price event.

Price and neutrality

Even when all layers show coherence, price may remain neutral. This does not mean that something is missing. It means that manifestation at that moment adds no additional meaning.

Within MFM, it is entirely possible for structure to be clear while price provides no basis for further interpretation. This, too, is a valid outcome.

With this chapter, the application of the reading logic is complete.
Context has been established.
Internal state has been determined.
Refinement has been applied.
Local structure has been read.
Conflicts have been recognized.
Price has been observed within that whole.
What remains is not action, but clarity.
The next and final phase focuses on deepening and consolidation. Not to extend the model, but to make its correct use durable.

Part III

Deepening and consolidation

Chapter 13

Visual design and clean chart rules

The market framework model is designed to make structure visible, not to attract attention. The visual design of the model is therefore not an aesthetic choice, but a functional necessity.
This chapter explains why visual restraint is essential for correct reading of MFM and how excessive visualization undermines the model.

Visibility is not emphasis
Within MFM, *visible does not mean important*. A common misconception is that what stands out most also carries the most weight. In visual analysis, this quickly leads to distortion. Colors, markers, and zones attract attention, but add no meaning when they are not correctly positioned within the reading sequence.
MFM prevents this by keeping visual elements strictly subordinate to their function.

Why restraint is necessary
A clean chart supports observation.
A crowded chart steers interpretation.

When too many elements are visible at the same time:

- attention shifts toward local details,
- the hierarchy between layers becomes blurred,
- and the tendency arises to construct meaning where it has not been explicitly established.

Within MFM, this is undesirable. The model requires calm in order to be read.

Limit the number of visual elements
Every visual addition must have a clear function within the reading process. Elements that do not directly contribute to correct reading of the model do not belong on the chart.
This includes, among other things:

- No unnecessary markers.
- No duplicate indications of the same information.
- No visual repetition that suggests meaning.

More visibility does not make the model stronger. It makes it more vulnerable.

Use of color and hierarchy
Colors within MFM are functional, not decorative. They serve to distinguish layers, not to emphasize them. When colors suggest direction, urgency, or preference, the role of the model changes.

Within MFM:
- Color supports hierarchy.
- Must not steer interpretation.

Correct reading requires that visual hierarchy corresponds to the logical hierarchy of the model.

MPF and visual restraint

MPF is particularly susceptible to misreading due to its local and visual character. For that reason, MPF requires additional restraint in design.

When MPF is visually amplified:
- Local structure is given excessive weight.
- Attention shifts from context to detail.
- MPF becomes unintentionally leading.

Within MFM, MPF must be visible, but never dominant.

Clean chart as discipline

A clean chart is not a stylistic choice, but a discipline. It forces the reader to observe in the correct order, without distraction. It prevents meaning from arising through form rather than through structure. Within MFM, a calm chart is not a limitation, but a condition.

Chapter 14

Most common misreadings of MPF

All layers of the market framework model are visible, both in the background of the HUD and on the chart itself. MPF, however, is the layer most directly connected to the price chart.
That proximity to price makes MPF the most vulnerable to misreading. Not because the structure is unclear, but because local zones are easily detached from context and internal state.
The misreadings described in this chapter are recognizable and predictable. They do not arise from carelessness, but from the tendency to assign meaning to visible structure before the reading logic has been fully applied.
This chapter names these patterns explicitly so they can be recognized in time.

Reading *Sup* as support
One of the most common misreadings is interpreting *Sup* as support. Within MFM, *Sup* is *an indication of structural convergence within local structure*. It is not a price level and not a zone where price is expected to react.
Reducing *Sup* to support shifts focus from structure to expectation and makes the reading instrumental. *Sup* says nothing about direction and nothing about price behavior.

Reading *Sdown* as a sell area

Sdown describes a structural relation within local context. It contains no judgment about what would be logical or desirable to do.

Sdown is often read as an area where distribution or decline should be expected. Within MFM, this is incorrect. Linking *Sdown* to selling introduces action where only description is intended.

Reading *Conf* up as bullish

Conf up is frequently interpreted as a positive confirmation. Within MFM, *Conf up* describes coherence, not direction. *It indicates that multiple observations within a local area do not conflict with each other*. It says nothing about continuation, strength, or probability. *Conf* up validates no interpretation and justifies no conclusion.

Reading *Conf* down as bearish

In a similar way, *Conf* down is often read as a negative confirmation. This too is a misreading. *Conf* down *describes coherence within local structure*, not rejection or weakness. The use of terms such as bullish or bearish does not belong here and distorts the role of MPF.

Reading MPF without phase

A structural misreading occurs when MPF is read without an explicitly established phase. Phase is not an additional layer, but a necessary condition. When MPF is read while the internal state is unclear or neutral, local structure is given a meaning it

cannot carry within MFM. Without phase, MPF is not interpretable.

Letting MPF outweigh regime
Another common misreading is allowing local structure to dominate context. Strong or visually clear MPF zones can create the impression that they override context. Within MFM, this is not permitted. Regime always remains leading, regardless of the clarity of local structure.
When MPF appears to conflict with regime, MPF is ignored.

Deriving meaning from repetition
Repeated visibility of MPF zones can lead to the idea that they become more reliable the more often they appear. Within MFM, repetition is not a quality measure.
A structure remains local and temporary, regardless of how often it appears. Meaning does not arise from frequency, but from position within the fixed reading sequence.

What these misreadings have in common
All misreadings described above share one thing: they shift meaning from context to detail.
Within MFM, meaning emerges in the opposite direction. Detail becomes readable only after context and internal state have been explicitly established.
When MPF is read differently, the model loses its coherence.

Chapter 15

Observation log

The market framework model requires a way of looking that slows down and orders perception. That way of looking easily fades when observations are not explicitly recorded. The observation log is intended to prevent that.

Purpose of the observation log
The purpose of the observation log is not evaluation, but consistency.
By recording observations:
- the reading sequence is preserved,
- review becomes possible without reinterpretation,
- and it becomes visible when assumptions influence perception.

The log supports discipline in observation. It is not intended to improve outcomes or optimize decisions.

What is recorded
Each observation follows the same sequence and contains only descriptive elements.
A log entry includes at minimum:
- the established regime,
- the established phase,
- the observed leadership,
- and the present MPF zone (named only, not interpreted).

These elements are recorded as they are readable at that moment, not as they might later be explained.

What is explicitly not recorded
The observation log contains no:
- conclusions,
- expectations,
- actions,
- explanations,
- or evaluations.

Nothing is recorded about what would be logical, what seems likely, or what later turned out to be correct.

Any addition that goes beyond description turns the log from an observation tool into an interpretation instrument and undermines its function.

Recording neutrality
Recording neutrality is just as important as recording structure. When regime, phase, leadership, or MPF do not allow a clear designation, this is explicitly noted. Neutrality is not an empty field, but a valid observation.

The log makes visible how often "no conclusion" is the correct outcome within MFM.

Timing of recording
An observation is recorded at the moment it is made. Not beforehand and not afterward.

Later adjusting, supplementing, or reformulating observations changes the nature of the log.

Description then becomes retrospective, and the boundary between observing and explaining begins to blur.
Within MFM, only what was visible at that moment is recorded.

The log as a mirror
Over time, the observation log reveals patterns. Not in the market, but in perception itself.
It makes visible:
- how often interpretation is halted,
- where misreadings recur,
- and when structure is consistently recognized.

This mirror function is the sole purpose of the log.

Scope and form
This chapter:
- prescribes no format,
- requires no frequency,
- and defines no evaluation moments.

Any form that respects these principles is suitable.

Chapter 16

Boundaries, disclaimers, and reuse

This guide describes how the Market Framework Model is to be read.
- Not how it should be applied.
- Not how it can be deployed.
- Not how it can be combined with trading.

That is where the scope of this document ends. This chapter makes that boundary explicit.

The boundary of the model

The Market Framework Model ends at observation. It organizes context, internal state, refinement, and local structure.

It enforces a fixed reading sequence and introduces stop rules when coherence is absent.

What happens afterward lies outside the model. MFM:
- makes no decisions,
- provides no instructions,
- and produces no outcomes.

Everything that follows the reading is a choice made by the reader and falls entirely outside the framework.

No implicit application

This guide contains no implicit instructions. There are no passages that can be read as indirect advice or as a suggestion to act. This is not an omission, but a deliberate design choice.

The moment trading action is suggested, the role of the model shifts from observation to instrument. That shift is not permitted within MFM. The model preserves its function only as long as it is not used to achieve an outcome.

Delineation, not protection
The explicit boundaries exist solely to keep the framework precise.
This delineation prevents:

- the model from being read as a method,
- the guide from being treated as an instruction manual,
- or the designer from being associated with outcomes.

Responsibility for interpretation, use, and consequence always rests with the reader.

Reuse and loss of context
Reusing elements of MFM outside this framework almost always leads to loss of context.
Isolated concepts, visual elements, or terms such as *Sup*, *Sdown*, or *Conf* lose their meaning when detached from:

- the fixed reading sequence,
- the veto logic,
- and the explicit boundaries of the model.

Anyone using elements of MFM without this context is not using the model, but fragments of it.

When this guide is not sufficient

This guide is intended to support correct reading of MFM.

It is not intended to:

- guide trading activity,
- improve trading performance,
- or influence trading behavior.

Those seeking a framework that prescribes trading actions, timing, or execution should look elsewhere. This is not a limitation of MFM, but a direct consequence of its chosen position.

"MFM is a frame for looking, not a pointing finger. It offers something rarely provided: a system that legitimizes not intervening when structure does not support it."

With this chapter, the guide is complete.

The Market Framework Model is not a means to action, but a framework for seeing without acting. Those who read the model correctly will notice a reduced impulse to intervene and greater clarity in observation.

Everything that follows lies outside the model.

Appendices

Appendix A

Context as state space

This appendix describes the possible states that the context layer within the market framework model can assume. Its purpose is to prevent conceptual ambiguity. It introduces no new logic, adds no trading perspective, and does not alter the reading sequence as established in the main chapters. The context states are purely descriptive in nature and carry no implicit expectation.

The role of context within MFM
Context *describes the broader market environment in which price is situated*.
It is the first layer in the MFM reading sequence and forms the frame within which all subsequent observations take place.

Within MFM:
- context says nothing about timing,
- context says nothing about trading direction,
- context describes only the nature of the environment,
- without an established context, no other layer can be read meaningfully.

Context does not determine what happens, but defines the space within which observation can occur.

Context as state space
Within MFM, context is treated as a state space rather than a binary choice. This means that context can assume different forms without any associated preference, expectation, or consequence. The context layer has three possible states. These states are symmetrical. None is preferable, stronger, or more correct than the others. Each describes a distinct environment in which price can be observed.

Context with upward dominance
(*conventionally referred to as "bullish"*)
In this state, the broader market environment exhibits characteristics of upward structural dominance. Price movements occur within an environment in which upward structure prevails. This designation describes:
- the nature of the environment,
- not market intent,
- and not the direction of any subsequent movement.

The presence of this context does not imply that price must rise. It describes only the structural frame within which further observation takes place.

Context with downward dominance
(*conventionally referred to as "bearish"*)
In this state, the broader market environment exhibits characteristics of downward structural dominance.

Here as well:

- this is a description of the environment,
- not a prediction,
- and not an inducement to act.

The presence of this context says nothing about timing, duration, or magnitude of movements. It defines only the frame within which the other layers must be read.

Context without dominant structure
(*neutral*)
In this state, clear structural dominance is absent.
The market environment shows no consistent upward or downward structure.
This context is neither a transitional phase nor a temporary lack of information.
It is a fully valid state within the model.
The absence of dominance means:

- that later layers provide less structural support,
- and that "no conclusion" more often represents the correct outcome.

Neutrality at the context level is therefore not an absence, but an explicit determination.

Appendix B

Phase as state space

This appendix describes the possible states that the phase layer within the market framework model can assume. The phase layer describes the internal state of the market within an established context. Phase introduces no direction, no timing, and no trading perspective. It describes only how the market relates internally to the environment in which it is situated.

The role of phase within MFM
Phase is the second layer in the MFM reading sequence.
It can acquire meaning only after context has been explicitly established. Where context describes the environment, phase describes the internal dynamics within that environment.
Within MFM:
- phase predicts nothing,
- phase validates nothing,
- phase activates nothing.

Phase refines observation. It does not guide decision-making.

Phase as state space
Within MFM, phase is treated as a state space.
This means that the market can exist in different internal states without those states being required to

be unambiguous, stable, or directional. Phase describes internal dynamics, not progression.
The phase layer includes:
- Three primary states
- A additional valid situations in which no unambiguous phase can be established.

None of these states is preferable to another. The absence of a clearly defined phase is, within MFM, a fully valid and correct outcome.

Phase 1
internal tension dominance
(*conventionally referred to as "tension phase"*)
In this state, internal tension is observable within the established context. Movements exhibit characteristics of extension, exhaustion, or increasing friction.
This phase describes:
- internal tension,
- no inflection point,
- no required continuation.

The presence of tension does not imply that the market must reverse. It describes only the internal state of the market relative to the existing context.

Phase 2
internal rotation dominance
(*conventionally referred to as "rotation phase"*)
In this state, internal rotation dominates. Movements alternate without clear direction or structural coherence.

Phase 2 is neither emptiness nor pause. It describes a state in which internal redistribution occurs without unambiguous positional definition. Within this phase:

- clarity is absent,
- "no conclusion" is a valid observation,
- and the interpretive value of later layers decreases.

Phase 3
internal stabilization dominance
(*conventionally referred to as "recovery phase"*)
In this state, the market exhibits characteristics of internal stabilization within the existing context. Internal tension decreases and coherence becomes more consistent.
This phase describes:

- internal ordering,
- no acceleration,
- no direction.

Recovery does not imply that movement will follow. It describes only the state of internal coherence.

No unambiguous phase
(*conventionally referred to as "no phase"*)
Not every market can be assigned to a clearly delineated phase.
When internal observations conflict, change too rapidly, or fail to display sufficient coherence, no phase is established within MFM.
This is:

- not a lack of information,

- not an error in the model,
- but a correct reading.

No phase means:
- that the internal state lacks sufficient coherence,
- and that further refinement becomes limited or invalid.

Establishing no phase does not terminate the reading sequence, but it constrains the meaning of subsequent layers.

Descriptive substates within no phase

In situations where no unambiguous phase can be established, specific internal dynamics may still be observable.

Within MFM, these are not designated as phases, but as descriptive substates. They carry no additional meaning and must not be interpreted as directional.

Drift (strong)

In this state, price moves gradually and consistently, without clear internal tension buildup or rotation. The movement is slow, persistent, and weakly corrected. Drift describes behavior, not intent. Strength refers to consistency, not force or reliability.

Volatile (strong)

In this state, price exhibits large and rapid fluctuations without internal coherence. Movements are pronounced but poorly structured.

Volatility describes amplitude, not direction.
Strength refers to intensity, not meaning.

Compression
In this state, the range of movement contracts and
internal compression emerges.
Price moves within an increasingly narrow range.
Compression describes constraint, not buildup.
It implies no breakout and no continuation.

Appendix C

Leadership as state space

This appendix describes the possible states of the leadership layer within the market framework model. Leadership is not a directional layer and not a confirmation instrument. It functions exclusively as a relational refinement within an already established context and phase. Leadership can deepen prior observations, but it can never correct or override them.

The role of leadership within MFM
Leadership describes the relative coherence between a market and its reference.
It is not concerned with strength or weakness in isolation, but with relationship. Leadership is the third layer in the MFM reading sequence and can be meaningfully read only when context and phase have been explicitly established.
Within MFM:
- leadership adds no direction,
- leadership validates no scenario,
- leadership creates no trigger for action.

Leadership refines observation. It does not steer outcomes.

Leadership as state space
Within MFM, leadership is treated as a state space. This means that the relationship between a market and its reference can take different forms without

any automatic consequence, preference, or expectation being attached. The leadership layer has three possible states.
These states are descriptive and equivalent. None of them is preferable, stronger, or more correct than another.

Positive leadership
(*conventionally referred to as "leader"*)
In this state, the market moves relatively stronger than its reference. The relationship shows coherence in favor of the market.
Positive leadership describes:
- relative dominance,
- no absolute strength,
- and no implicit direction.

That leadership is positive does not mean that price must rise. It describes only the relationship within the existing framework.

Negative leadership
(*conventionally referred to as "lagger"*)
In this state, the market moves relatively weaker than its reference. The relationship shows coherence to the disadvantage of the market.
Negative leadership describes:
- relative underperformance,
- no absolute weakness,
- and no expectation.

Here as well, leadership says nothing about what should follow. It describes only the quality of the relationship within the established framework.

Neutral leadership
In this state, clear relative coherence is absent. The market and its reference move without a consistent relationship.
Neutral leadership is not a transition phase and not temporary noise. It is a fully valid state within the model.
With neutral leadership:
- the refining value of this layer decreases,
- and later layers carry less meaning.

Neutral leadership does not mean that the market is "balanced." It means that the relative relationship shows insufficient coherence to be read as positive or negative.

Summary
Leadership is always subordinate to context and phase.
When context or phase is unclear, leadership loses its meaning. Neutral leadership adds no refinement and reduces the weight of subsequent layers.
Leadership can deepen observation, but it can never steer it.